IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Frank A. Skraly and Martha Sholl

Serial No.:

09/909,574

Art Unit:

1652

Filed:

July 20, 2001

Examiner:

Yong D. Pak

For:

PRODUCTION OF POLYHYDROXYALKANOATES FROM POLYOLS

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action mailed on September 23, 2002, please consider the following remarks. It is believed that no fee is required with this submission. However, should a fee be required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 50-1868.

The Restriction Requirement

In the Office Action mailed on September 23, 2002, the 21 claims were divided into four groups.

Group I, claims 1-10, drawn to a method of producing polyhydroxyalkanoates by providing various diols to be converted into hydroxyalkanoates. (Class 435, subclass 146)

Group II, claims 11-19, drawn to a composition comprising a polyhydroxyalkanoate copolymer with various comonomers. (Class 560, subclass 1)

ATT-1/548799

MBX 039 077832/00074 OCT.23'2002 16:03 #6306 P.005/008

U.S.S.N. 09/909,574 Filed: July 20, 2001

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Group III, claim 20, drawn to a method of improving a biological system for making polyhydroxyalkanoates. (Class 435, subclass 146)

Group IV, claim 21 drawn to a DNA fragment encoding a diol oxidoreductase and an aldehyde dehydrogenase producing various hydroxyalkanoates. (Class 536, subclass 23.2)

In response, the Applicants elect Group I, claims 1-10, with traverse.

The Restriction Requirement is Incomplete

It is expressly stated in the MPEP that the "particular reasons relied on by the examiner for holding that the inventions as claimed are either independent or distinct should be concisely stated. A mere statement or conclusion is inadequate. The reasons upon which the conclusion is based should be given." (MPEP 816).

In the Office Action mailed September 23, 2002, the Examiner fails to include reasons why the restricted groups are distinct. As such, the examiner does not meet his burden in establishing grounds for a Restriction Requirement.

Further, the Applicants assert that these claims are related to one inventive concept.

Groups I and II are not distinct because they are related as method of making and product made and do not satisfy the test of distinctness. The conditions under which a proper restriction requirement can be made are where: (A) the process as claimed is not an obvious process of making the product and the process as claimed can be used to make another and different products; or (B) the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). The compositions of PHA monomers in Group II possess characteristics

U.S.S.N. 09/909,574 Filed: July 20, 2001

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

that are specifically made by the method of Group I. Conversely, the method of Group I will always yield the PHAs described in Group II.

Group III is directed to a method for detecting mutant bacteria with enhanced PHA synthesis from diols. The method for Group III incorporates all the elements of Group I which would otherwise not make these two groups patentably distinct. The method of Group I is necessary to practice the method of Group III. Similarly, the method of Group III will yield PHA monomers in the same way as the method of Group I.

Group IV is related to Group I as process and apparatus for its practice (MPEP 806.05(e). To properly be restricted, the Examiner must show (A) that the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand; or (B) that the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. The method of Group I can not be practiced without the DNA fragments to encode the required enzymes for diol processing. The DNA fragments in Group IV are used to encode the enzymes function to process the diol substrate in the formation of PHAs. The specific monomers are formed as a result of using an appropriate diol precursor as the carbon source for the reaction.

The Election of Species Requirement is Incomplete

The Examiner also requires that an election of species be made between the following species: genus of diols, genus of comonomers, and DNA fragments encoding a genus of hydroxyalkanoates. It is impossible to respond to this requirement. The specification states that supplying a particular diol substrate as the sole carbon source will yield a specific PHA (page 4,

. #6306 P.007/008

U.S.S.N. 09/909,574 Filed: July 20, 2001

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

lines 3-8; page 9, line 17-18). For example, use of 1,4-butanediol will be used to synthesize 4-hydroxybutyrate. The monomer is dependent on the choice of diol used as a carbon source.

Further, the DNA fragments do not encode a genus of hydroxyalkanoates. The DNA fragments encode two enzymes, diol oxidoreductase, and an aldehyde dehydrogenase, which are necessary for PHA synthesis. These two enzymes can produce various hydroxyalkanoate monomers depending on which substrate is supplied as a carbon source. It is impossible to elect a species in this genus, as there is no genus.

Applicants elect 1,4-butanediol which will be used to synthesize 4-hydroxybutyrate.

Allowance of claims 1-21 is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrea L. Pabst

Reg. No. 31,284

Date: October 23, 2002 HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP One Atlantic Center, Suite 2000 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3400 (404) 817-8473 (404) 817-8588 (Fax) U.S.S.N. 09/909,574 Filed: July 20, 2001

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper, along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed, is being facsimile transmitted to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. on this date.

Jean Hicks

Date: October 23, 2002

******** *** RX REPORT *** ***********

RECEPTION OK

TX/RX NO

9276

CONNECTION TEL SUBADDRESS

CONNECTION ID

ST. TIME USAGE T

10/23 16:26

02'20 8 PGS. OK RESULT

Law Offices

·HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 2000 Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3400

404-817-8500 FAX 404-881-0470 http://www.hklaw.com FAX RECEIVED

OCT 2 4 2002

GROUP 1600

Bradenton
Chicago
Fort Lauderdale
Jacksonville
Lakeland
Lakeland
Los Angeles
Melbourne
Milami
New York

International Offices: Caracas* Helsinki Mexico City Fito de Janeiro #6306 P.001/008

Northern Virginia
Orlando
Providence
San Antordo
San Francisco
Seatile
St. Petersburg
Talinpa
Washington, D.C.
Weet Palm Beach

São Paulo Tel Aviv Tokyo

"Representation Offices

FACSIMILE

<u>TO</u> :								
	Assistant Commission							
Group 1600 Before Final	for Patents	703-308-2742						
NAME	COMPANY/FIRM	FAX NUMBER						
Washington	DC	703-306-3470						
CITY	STATE	(TELEPHONE NUMBER)						
FROM:								
Patrea L. Pabst	404-817-8473	8						
NAME	TELEPHONE	TOTAL PAGES (Including Cover Sheet)						
FOR THE RECORD:								
DATE: September 17, 2002	URGENCY: SUPER RUSH	🔲 RUSH 🔲 REGULAR						
FAXED BY:	FILE# 7832/00074	CLIENT NAME MBX 039						
CONFIRMED: YES NO	NAME:	TIME:						
If you did not receive all of the pages or find that they are illegible, please call (404) 817-8500								

MESSAGE

Applicant:

Frank A. Skraly and Martha Sholl

Serial No.:

09/909,574

Art Unit:

1652

Filed:

July 20, 2001

Examiner:

Yong D. Pak

For:

PRODUCTION OF POLYHYDROXYALKANOATES FROM POLYOLS

ATIJ1	#509874	v1
-------	---------	----

FAX RECEIVED

OCT 2 4 2002



GROUP 1600

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box -> +

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002, OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Petent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under this Peperwork Reducit	in Aci of 1830, no person	e ale tedrited to te	Isboug to a collection of inform	adon unless it displays a valid Oline Conduit Ituliber.			
			Application Number	09/909,574			
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	July 20, 2001			
FORM			First Named Inventor	Frank A. Skraly			
(to be used for a	ll comespondence effe	er initial filing)	Group Art Unit	1652			
			Examiner Name	Yong D. Pak			
Total Number of	of Pages in This Subm	lesion	Attorney Docket Numb	er MBX 039			
		ENCL	OSURES (chec	k all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form Assignm (for an A Fee Attached Drawing Licensing Licensing After Final Petition Affidavits/declaration(e) Provision Extension of Time Request Terminal Express Abandonment Request Request Information Disclocure Statement Information Disclocure Statement			nent Papers Application) (s) g-related Papers to Convert to a nal Application of Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence it Disclaimer t for Refund mber of CD(s)	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return post-card.			
	SIGNATU	RE OF APPLI	CANT, ATTORNEY, OR	AGENT			
Firm Patrea L. Palst, Reg. No. 31,284 Holland & Knight LLP or Individual name Suize 2000, One Atlantic Center; 1201 West Peachtree Street, N.E.; Atlanta, GA 30309-3							
Signature	1/2						
Date October 23, 2002							
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:							
Typed or printed name	SEE CERT	IFICATE O	F FACSIMILE TRAN				
Signature Date :							

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231, DO NOT SEND FEES OR GOMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. GEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/17 (11-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002, OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Peterst and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

D.O. Foldiji piju	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		~·~, ~~ ,	Accidition A	
Upder the Poperwork Reduction Act of 1996, no persons are required to respond to a collection of	Information	untasa It	digolava a	yalid OMB	control numb

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2002

(\$) -()-

Patent less one subject to ennual revision.

Applicant daims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

Complete if Known					
09/909,574					
July 20, 2001					
Frank A. Skraly					
Yong D. Pak					
1652					
MBX 039					
	09/909,574 July 20, 2001 Frank A. Skraly Yong D. Pak 1652				

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)			FEE CALCULATION (continued)						
Check Credit card Money Other None			3. ADDITIONAL FEES						
Deposit Account:			Largo Entity Small Entity						
Daposit	ACCOUNT;			Fee	Foo	Fee		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Account	50-1868			Code		Cod	• • •	Company to the filler for prooth	
Number Deposit				106	130	205	68	Surcharge - late filing fee or ceth	
Accoum Name	Holland &	& Knight LLP		127	60	227	25	Sunharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
		296 to: (check ell lhet e		139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
	(a) Indicated belo			147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Part) during the pendency o		112	920"	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
	• •	ow, except for the filing	g fen		_				
to the speaking	lentified deposit a	LCULATION		113	1,840	113	1,B40 ⁻	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner exten	
- DACIC FI				115	110	216	65	Extension for reply within first month	
1, BASIC FI		•		118	400	218	200	Extantion for reply within second month	
Fee Fee	Fes Fes	Fee Description	Fee Paid	117	B20	217	460	Extension for reply within third month	
Code (\$)	Code (\$)	LIANDA GILAG FOR	T89 PBID	118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply within fourth month	
101 740 106 330	201 370 208 165	Utility filing fee Design filing fee	├ ──┤	128	1,960	228	080	Extension for reply within fifth month	
107 510	207 255	Plant filling fee		119	320	219	160	Notice of Appeal	
108 740	208 370	Refeeue filing fae		120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
114 160	214 8D	Provisional filling fee		121	280	221	140	Request for oral hearing	
''' 1				138	1,810	138	1,510	Petition to Institute a public use proceeding	
		UBTOTAL (1) (\$)		14D	110	240	55	Pelition to revive - unavoidable	
2. EXTRA C	LAIM FEES	FOR UTILITY AN		141	1,280	241	840	Petition to revive - unintentional	
	;	Fee fr Ext <u>ra Claim</u> e <u>balo</u> r	w Fee Paid	142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
Total Claims	21 - 21	0 × 0		143	460	243	230	Design Issue fee	
independent Cisims	13 - 13		Q	144	620	244	310	Plam leave fee	
Multiple Deper	ndent	0		122	130	122	130	Patitions to the Commissioner	
	•			123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Foo Foo	Small Entity	Fee Description		128	180	126	180	Submission of information Disclosure Sunt	
Code (5) 103 18	Code (6) 203 9	Claims in excess of 20	n	581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
102 84	202 42	independent dalms in		146	740	246	370	Filing a submission after final rejection	İ
104 280	204 140	Mulliple dependent de	·					(37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
109 84	209 42	Release Independe	ent claime	149	740	249	370	For each additional invention to be exemined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
110 18	210 9	** Reissue cialms in e		179	740	279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
')	and over original pa		169	800	168	900	Request for expedited exemination of a design application	
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) -0- Other				Other	lee (et	cec fy)		
Tot number	r previousty neld.	. Il greeter: For Reissue	is, see above	"Redi	toed pa	Bas	le Filing	Fee Pald SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) -0-	

SUBMITTED BY		Complete (I' repolicable)							
Name (FrintType)	Patrea L. Pabst	Registration No. (Altonoyl Agent)	31,284	Telephone	(404) 817-8473				
Signeture	(1-			Date	October 23, 2002				